

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/006,090	12/06/2001	Jens Struckmeier	528.003	8833
7590 06/21/2004			EXAMINER	
Jay G. Dust			NOLAND, THOMAS	
BOYLE, FREDRICKSON, NEWHOLM, STEIN & GRATZ, S.C. 250 Plaza, Suite 1030 250 East Wisconsin Avenue Milwaukee, WI 53202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2856	
			DATE MAILED: 06/21/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	10/006,090	STRUCKMEIER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thomas P. Noland	2856				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 M	larch 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15,25 and 26</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-15,25 and 26</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar	ry (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	·					
PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office A	ction Summary F	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 06102004				

Application/Control Number: 10/006,090 Page 2

Art Unit: 2856

1. The amendment filed March 17, 2004 has been entered.

- 2. The indicated allowability of claims 1-4, 6-15 and 25-26 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Proksch et al US 2004/0000189. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.
- 3. The delay in making rejections thereon is regretted.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1-4, 6-11, 13, 15 and 25-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Proksch et al.

Note especially the abstract, the drawing, paragraphs, 7-9 and 12 and the claim. Also note 60/332,650 the provisional application for Proksch et al provides support the claimed subject matter herein in Proksch et al

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/006,090

Art Unit: 2856

7. Claims 12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Proksch et al.

Proksch et al does not specifically teach varying either the pitch or volume to

Page 3

alert the operator but its teaching of audio signal alerting in paragraph of would have

made it obvious to have done so since those are the common means of varying an

audio alert signal. The knob is also not specified to here a range greater than 180

degrees but since tactile feedback knobs that have such a range are known it would

have been obvious to have used one in a system similar to that of Proksch et al to allow

greater movement control.

8. Claim 5 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would

be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base

claim and any intervening claims.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tom Noland whose telephone number is (571) 272-

2202. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 9:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mr. Hezron E. Williams, can be reached on (571) 272-2208.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding

is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Thomas P. Noland Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2856

Homes Ald

Noland/ds

06/15/04